

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/857,353 | 09/857,353 09/05/2001 | | Alfred Heinzl | 3131 | |
| 27187 | 7590 | 03/27/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| BAKER & DANIELS LLP | | | | SHARMA, RASHMI K | |
| 205 W. JEFF SUITE 250 | ERSON I | BOULEVARD | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SOUTH BE | ND, IN | 46601 | | 3651 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|---------------|--|
| 09/857,353 | HEINZL ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Rashmi K. Sharma | 3651 | |

| | Rashmi K. Sharma | 3651 | |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI | ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f). | ng date of the final rejection IE FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori r than three months after the mailing d | t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be | e filed within two month | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t | o avoid dismissal of th | e appeal. Since |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | DTE below); | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in be | | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | elected claims | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | Jootoa olamio. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | • | (|
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | , timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | | vill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apply and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ls to provide a l). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attach | ned. |
| 11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application | in condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paner | No(s). | |
| 13. Other: | (1 10/05/00 01 1 10-1449) Papel | | |
| | news/ | THAN FORD | |

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New independent claim 21 requires further search and consideration.